

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,849	849 04/02/2001 Simon Jacobs		500745.01	9964
27076	7590 08/30/2005	EXAMINER		
	WHITNEY LLP UAL PROPERTY DEPAI	JEANTY, ROMAIN		
SUITE 3400	OND TROTERT DEFA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1420 FIFTH A	AVENUE	3623		
SEATTLE, V	VA 98101	DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/824,849		JACOBS, SIMON				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Romain Jeanty		3623				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 Apı</i>	<u>ril 2005</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
 4) Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Interview Summary (I					
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pa Other:)-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-29 and 50-58, drawn to a data structure stored on a computer media and a process for creating and managing a complex work order, classified in class 705, subclass 8.
 - II. Claims 30-49, drawn to a process for managing a complex work order,classified in class 705, subclass 9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as scheduling first and second sub-order with a first and second appointment. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,849

Art Unit: 3623

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claims 8-11 are distinct species of the generic feature of wherein the precedence criteria identifies.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Claims 12-15 are distinct species of the generic feature of wherein the Q specific fields include.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/824,849

Art Unit: 3623

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Remarks

6. Applicant election with traverse of claims 1-29, and 50-58 filed April 4, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 30-31 and 42-49 are similarly directed to a process for managing a complex work order and that claims 19 and 30 have the same limitations. In response, the examiner disagrees with applicant's arguments because claim 30 contains limitation such as appointment scheduling, which is not in claim 19. Thus applicant's argument is not found persuasive because the examiner has identified that two groups that are classified under different subclasses. In this instance, the examiner would be required to perform separate and different prior art searches and since the groups are classified under different class and subclass, a substantial burden would fall on the examiner if all the claims are examined. The requirement is still deemed proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (571) 272-6732. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R. Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,849

Art Unit: 3623

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 22, 2005

Romain Jeanty Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3623